

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

**RONALD SATISH EMRIT,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**KARA PRATT, ATTORNEY; OFFICE  
OF CHIEF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL  
OF TEXAS BAR, TEXAS BOARD OF  
DISCIPLINARY APPEALS, TEXAS  
BAR,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL NO. W-24-CV-00102-ADA**

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE’S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Jeffrey C. Manske. ECF No. 8. The report recommends that the above styled and numbered cause be dismissed without prejudice. The report and recommendation was filed on April 24, 2024.


A party may file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation, thereby securing *de novo* review by the district court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). As of today, neither party has filed objections.

When no objections are timely filed, a district court reviews the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”). The Court has reviewed the report and recommendation and finds no clear error.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Manske (ECF No. 8) is **ADOPTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the above styled and numbered cause is dismissed without prejudice.

**SIGNED** this 9th day of May, 2024.



---

ALAN D ALBRIGHT  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE